arXiv:1703.08048v2 [math.RT] 15 Apr 2017

CLASSIFICATION OF REDUCTIVE REAL SPHERICAL PAIRS II. THE SEMISIMPLE CASE

FRIEDRICH KNOP

Department Mathematik, Emmy-Noether-Zentrum
FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg, Cauerstr. 11, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

BERNHARD KRÖTZ

Universität Paderborn, Institut für Mathematik
Warburger Straße 100, D-33098 Paderborn, Deutschland

TOBIAS PECHER

Universität Paderborn, Institut für Mathematik
Warburger Straße 100, D-33098 Paderborn, Deutschland

HENRIK SCHLICHTKRULL

University of Copenhagen, Department of Mathematics
Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

ABSTRACT. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real reductive Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ is a subalgebra, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is called real spherical provided that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{p}$ for some choice of a minimal parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. In this paper we classify all real spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ where $\mathfrak{g}$ is semi-simple but not simple and $\mathfrak{h}$ is a reductive real algebraic subalgebra. The paper is based on the classification of the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple [6] and generalizes the results of Brion and Mikityuk ([4], [12]) in the (complex) spherical case.

E-mail addresses: friedrich.knop@fau.de, bkroetz@gmx.de, tpecher@math.upb.de, schlicht@math.ku.dk.

Date: April 8, 2017.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22F30, 22E46, 53C35, 22E40.

The second author was supported by ERC Advanced Investigators Grant HARG 268105.

1. Introduction

Our concern is with real reductive Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$, that is, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real Lie algebra such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is a direct Lie algebra sum with $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ and semi-simple commutator $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. A subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ is called

- *compact (in $\mathfrak{g}$)* if $e^{\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is compact,
- *reductive (in $\mathfrak{g}$)* if $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is completely reducible,
- *elementary (in $\mathfrak{g}$)* if $\mathfrak{h}$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ with $\mathfrak{m}$ compact and $\mathfrak{a}$ abelian.

From now on we let $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ be a reductive subalgebra and we refer to $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ as a reductive pair. Accordingly $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{n}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{el}}$ where $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{el}}$ is the largest elementary ideal of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the sum of all simple non-compact factors in $\mathfrak{h}$.

In addition we request that $\mathfrak{h}$ is real algebraic, that is $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is an algebraic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Recall that this is always the case when $\mathfrak{h}$ is semi-simple.

The pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is called *decomposable* if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ with $\mathfrak{g}_i \neq \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1) \oplus (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_2)$, and *indecomposable* otherwise. Further we call $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ *strictly indecomposable* provided that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{n}})$ is indecomposable.

The pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is called *real spherical* if there exists a minimal parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} < \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{p}$ as a (not necessarily direct) vector sum. In case both $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are complex, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is called *complex spherical* or simply *spherical*. Note for the complex case that $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{el}} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$. An interesting and important class of real spherical pairs are the symmetric pairs which are defined by the request that $\mathfrak{h}$ is the fixed point set of an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The classification of real spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ readily reduces to the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is semi-simple with all simple factors being non-compact (see Lemma 3.2). We assume this from now on and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$ be the decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into simple non-compact factors. For a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ we let $\mathfrak{h}_i$ be the projection of $\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_i$. Then it is easy to see that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ being real spherical implies that all $(\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i)$ are real spherical (see Lemma 3.1). In this sense the real spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ simple are the building blocks for a general classification. Complex spherical pairs with $\mathfrak{g}$ simple were classified by Krämer [11] and subsequently all reductive real spherical pairs with $\mathfrak{g}$ simple have been classified in [6].

The objective of this paper is to give a classification of all reductive real spherical pairs where $\mathfrak{g}$ is semi-simple and not simple. For the classification we can of course assume that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is indecomposable but in order to make the classification feasable the additional requirement that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is strictly indecomposable is needed (see [12], Sect. 5, and Remark 5.4 below). The classification of all indecomposable symmetric pairs has a simple answer: either $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple and $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ belongs to Berger's list [2], or $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \simeq (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{diag}\,\mathfrak{h})$ is the so-called "group case". Phrased differently, the classification of symmetric pairs readily reduces to the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple. This is not so in general. For complex spherical pairs the classification problem was solved by Brion [4] and Mikityuk [12]. They showed that next to the group case there are 17 additional cases (see Table 3 in [12], or see [15]). Among the most prominent examples in their list are the Gross-Prasad spaces:



Here one finds $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ in the top row, $\mathfrak{h}$ in the lower row, and the various lines indicate the embedding scheme of $\mathfrak{h}$ into $\mathfrak{g}$. It is also noteworthy that the second case specialized to $m = 3$ yields the now ubiquitous triple case $(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}), \operatorname{diag} \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$. Another feature of the Brion-Mikityuk classification is that the number k of simple factors of $\mathfrak{g}$ is at most 3.

Real spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ for which the complexification $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is spherical are called *absolutely spherical* or real forms and will be marked by *a.s.* in our tables. Given the classification of Brion-Mikityuk they are fairly easy to determine. We now describe our classification in more detail. As in the complex case it turns out that the number k of factors is at most 3. The cases with $k = 2$ are listed in Theorem 4.1 and those with $k = 3$ in Theorem 5.2. In condensed notation there is a total of about 50 cases whose majority is not absolutely spherical. We highlight here our two most exotic cases:



A real spherical pair $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ such that $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \operatorname{diag} \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical, as for example the second Gross-Prasad pair above, is called *strongly spherical*; see Theorem 4.1 1b) which lists those with both $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ simple. Strongly spherical pairs deserve special attention in view of their relevance for branching laws from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{h}$ (see [10]). Prior to this work strongly spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ where $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ is symmetric were classified in [10]. The cases found in [10] can easily be extracted from our tables together with all additional cases for non-symmetric $\mathfrak{h}$, see Table 9 at the end of the paper.

Let us now explain the method of our approach. Given a minimal parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{p}$ we recall from [8] the infinitesimal version of the local structure theorem: There exists a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q} \supset \mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \ltimes \mathfrak{u}$ such that

- $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}$,
- $\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ contains all non-compact simple ideals of $\mathfrak{l}$.

The algebra $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h}) := \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ is an invariant of the real spherical pair $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ and is called the *structural algebra* (cf. [9] where $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ is called principal subalgebra). Suppose now that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ and that $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ is a reductive subalgebra with projections $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2$. A necessary condition for $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ to be spherical is that both $(\mathfrak{g}_1,\mathfrak{h}_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2,\mathfrak{h}_2)$ are real spherical. Let us

assume this and set $\mathfrak{h}' := \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$. Then $\mathfrak{h}'$ is a real spherical subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ which contains $\mathfrak{h}$. Our main tool for the classification is the fact that in this case $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ is real spherical if and only if $\mathfrak{h}'/\mathfrak{h}$ is real spherical for the action of $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1) \oplus \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{h}_2)$, that is, there exists minimal parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{l},i} < \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i)$ such that

$$\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{l},1} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{l},2}$$

(see Lemma 3.1). Hence we obtain as a necessary condition for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ to be real spherical that

$$\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1) + \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{h}_2)\,.$$

This condition turns out to be rather restrictive in view of the factorization results of Onishchik [13], which were already reviewed and used in [6]. In the first step we therefore determine all structural Lie algebras $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ simple. Some part was already done in [6] and in the appendix we provide a complete list in Tables 2 - 6. It is then a matter of efficient book-keeping to obtain the classification for $k = 2$ factors, which is recorded in Theorem 4.1. This theorem is divided into three parts: $\mathfrak{h}$ simple, $\mathfrak{h}$ semi-simple but not simple, and $\mathfrak{h}$ reductive but not semi-simple. In all cases we also determine $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ with its embedding scheme to $\mathfrak{h}$. Having obtained the classification for $k = 2$ together with this information, it is then a rather quick task to derive both the classification for $k = 3$ and the exclusion of $k \geq 4$ (see Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 5.3).

2. Generalities

In the sequel $\mathfrak{g}$ will always refer to a real reductive Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ shall be an algebraic subalgebra. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ is called *real spherical* provided there exists a minimal parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{p}\,.$$

The pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is then referred to as a *real spherical pair*.

A pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ of a complex Lie algebra and a complex subalgebra is called *complex spherical* or simply *spherical* if it is real spherical when regarded as a pair of real Lie algebras. Note that in this case the minimal parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ are precisely the Borel subalgebras.

We recall from [6]:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ be such that $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is complex spherical. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical.*

Real spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ which arise from complex spherical pairs as in the lemma above will be called *real forms* or *absolutely spherical*.

Fix $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a linear complex algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. If $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is classical, then $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ shall be the corresponding classical group, i.e. $G_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$. To avoid confusion let us stress that we use the notation $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ to indicate that the underlying classical vector space is $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Further $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ denotes the compact real form of $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and likewise the underlying vector space for $\mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$ is $\mathbb{C}^{2p+2q}$. Finally we use $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ for the identity component of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$, the indefinite orthogonal group on $\mathbb{R}^{p+q}$.

We denote by G the analytic subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. For an algebraic subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ we denote by $H < G$ the corresponding analytic subgroup.

Let $P = MAN < G$ be a minimal parabolic subgroup. Then $Z := G/H$ is called real spherical provided that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical, i.e. there is an open P-orbit on Z.

2.1. **Towers of spherical subgroups.** Let $Z = G/H$ be a real spherical space and $P < G$ a minimal parabolic subgroup such that PH is open in G. Then the local structure theorem of [8] asserts that there is a unique parabolic subgroup $Q \supset P$ with Levi decomposition $Q = L \ltimes U$ such that:

(1) $PH = QH$.
(2) $Q \cap H = L \cap H$.
(3) $L_{\mathrm{n}} < L \cap H$ where $L_{\mathrm{n}} \lhd L$ is the connected normal subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathrm{n}}$, the sum of all non-compact simple ideals of $\mathfrak{l}$.

We refer to Q as a Z-adapted parabolic.

We extract from [6], Section 2, the following two results.

Lemma 2.2. [[6], Lemma 2.8] *Let G/H be real spherical and let $Q = LU \supset P$ be adapted to it. Then $P_H := P \cap H$ is a minimal parabolic subgroup in $L_H := L \cap H$.*

We also use the notation $L(Z) := L_H$. On the Lie algebra level we let $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebra of L_H. We call $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) := \mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ the *structural algebra* associated with $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and P. It is a reductive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$.

Proposition 2.3. [[6], Prop. 2.9 and Cor. 2.10] *Let $H \subset H' \subset G$ be a tower of subgroups such that both H and H' are reductive and $Z' = G/H'$ is real spherical. Let $P < G$ be a minimal parabolic such that $PH' \subset G$ is open and let $Q' = L'U'$ be the associated parabolic subgroup adapted to Z'. Then the following assertions hold:*

(1) *$Z = G/H$ is real spherical if and only if H'/H is real spherical for the action of $L_{H'} = L(Z')$, i.e. $P_{H'}$ has an open orbit on H'/H.*
(2) *If Z is real spherical, then $H' = L(Z')H$ and in particular*

$$L(Z')/L(Z') \cap H \simeq H'/H\,. \tag{2.1}$$

We need to determine the structure algebra of (2.1).

Proposition 2.4. *Let $H \subset H' \subset G$ and assume $PH \subset G$ is open. Let $Q, Q' \supset P$ be adapted to $Z = G/H$ and $Z' = G/H'$, respectively. Then $Q \subset Q'$ and the Levi factors for the local structure theorem can be chosen such that $L \subset L'$. Then $L'/L' \cap H$ is a real spherical space, to which $Q \cap L' \supset P \cap L'$ is adapted.*

Furthermore, $Q \cap L' \cap H' \supset P_{H'}$ is adapted to $L' \cap H'/L' \cap H$ and the corresponding structure algebra is

$$\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{l}' \cap \mathfrak{h}', \mathfrak{l}' \cap \mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Let $\zeta : Z = G/H \to Z' = G/H'$ be the projection. We follow the iteration in the proof of the local structure theorem [8, Thm. 2.3], side-by-side for Z' and Z. We assume $G_n \not\subset H'$ since otherwise $Q' = G$. In the first step a proper parabolic subgroup Q_1 is constructed from a non-trivial finite dimensional representation (π, V) with an H'-semispherical cyclic vector $v_{H'}$. Since $v_{H'}$ is also H-semispherical, the same construction applies for Z. The Levi decomposition of Q_1 is determined by means of a moment map $\mu' : Q_1 H' \to \mathfrak{g}^*$, respectively $\mu : Q_1 H \to \mathfrak{g}^*$, and we see from the construction of these maps that $\mu' \circ \zeta = \mu$. This implies that the Levi factor L_1 of Q_1 will be the same for Z as for Z'. The iteration continues

by repeating the above for the real spherical spaces $L_1/L_1 \cap H'$ and $L_1/L_1 \cap H$, and after a number of iterations a Z'-adapted parabolic subgroup $Q' = L'U'$ is reached, for which $L'/L' \cap H$ is real spherical. After some further steps a Z-adapted one, $Q = LU$ is obtained. In particular, by this construction we obtain $Q \subset Q'$ and $L \subset L'$.

Furthermore, the last steps of the iteration ensure that the parabolic subgroup $Q \cap L'$ of L' is adapted to $L'/L' \cap H$ with the associated Levi decomposition $Q \cap L' = L(U \cap L')$. As $L'_n \subset H'$ it follows by intersecting with H' that $Q \cap L' \cap H'$ is a parabolic subgroup of $L' \cap H'$, adapted to $L' \cap H'/L' \cap H$ with associated Levi decomposition

$$Q \cap L' \cap H' = (L \cap H')(U \cap L' \cap H'). \tag{2.3}$$

Now (2.2) follows. □

In the situation of Proposition 2.3(2) we have $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}') + \mathfrak{h}$. More generally, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a reductive Lie algebra, then a *factorization* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a (not necessarily direct) decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 + \mathfrak{g}_2$ with reductive subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_i$. Factorizations of simple Lie algebras were classified by Onishchik (see [6], Sect. 2, for a summary).

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a reductive Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{h}$ a reductive subalgebra. Assume $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$ is a decomposition in ideals, and consider $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$ by the inclusion map $x = x_1 \oplus x_2 \mapsto (x, x_2)$. Suppose that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical, and let $\mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}$ denote the projection of $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ to $\mathfrak{h}_2$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *$(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical.*
(2) *$(\mathfrak{h}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical.*

Moreover if these conditions hold, then

$$\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h}) \simeq (\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{h}_1) \oplus \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{h}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h})\,. \tag{2.4}$$

Here the second factor on the right side of (2.4) *embeds into $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$ by the restriction of the diagonal embedding $\mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}$.*

Proof. We apply Proposition 2.3 to the tower

$$H \subset H' := H \times H_2 \subset G \times H_2$$

where the first inclusion map is given as in the corollary. Then $H'/H \simeq H_2$ and $L(G \times H_2/H') = L_H \times H_2$. The action of L_H on H'/H factors through the projection $H \to H_2$, and hence identifies with the action of L^2_H on H_2. Thus H'/H is spherical for $L_H \times H_2$ if and only if $H_2 \times L^2_H/L^2_H$ is real spherical, and the corollary follows. □

2.2. **Notation for exceptional Lie algebras.** We use the notation of Berger, [2] p. 117, and write $\mathsf{E}_6^\mathbb{C}, \mathsf{E}_7^\mathbb{C}$ etc. for the complex simple Lie algebras of type E_6, E_7 etc., and $\mathsf{E}_6, \mathsf{E}_7$ etc. for the corresponding compact real forms. For the non-compact real forms we write

$\mathsf{E}^1_6, \mathsf{E}^2_6, \mathsf{E}^3_6, \mathsf{E}^4_6$	for	E I, E II, E III, E IV
$\mathsf{E}^1_7, \mathsf{E}^2_7, \mathsf{E}^3_7$	for	E V, E VI, E VII
$\mathsf{E}^1_8, \mathsf{E}^2_8$	for	E VIII, E IX
$\mathsf{F}^1_4, \mathsf{F}^2_4$	for	F I, F II

and finally G^1_2 for G, the unique non-compact real form of $\mathsf{G}^\mathbb{C}_2$.

By slight abuse of notation we also denote the simply connected Lie groups with these Lie algebras by the same symbols.

3. Generalities and basic assumptions

Before we explain our basic assumption on the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ we state two simple lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2$ be reductive Lie algebras, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ a reductive subalgebra with projections $\mathfrak{h}_1$, $\mathfrak{h}_2$. Then the following assertions hold:*

(1) *If $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical, then so is $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{h}_2)$.*
(2) *Conversely, if $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{h}_2)$ are real spherical, then the following are equivalent:*
   (a) *$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical.*
   (b) *$(H_1 \times H_2)/H$ is an $L(Z_1) \times L(Z_2)$-real spherical variety, where $Z_i = G_i/H_i$.*

Proof. (1) is straightforward from the definition. As for (2) we consider the tower $H \subset H' := H_1 \times H_2$ in $G = G_1 \times G_2$ and apply Proposition 2.3. □

A reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *elementary* if $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is compact.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ as in Lemma 3.1. Then the following assertions hold:*

(1) *If $\mathfrak{g}_2$ is elementary, then the following are equivalent:*
   (a) *$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical.*
   (b) *$(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ is real spherical.*
(2) *If $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical, then so is $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h})$.*

Proof. For (1) we only need to show that (b) implies (a). For that we observe that the elementary factors are contained in $\mathfrak{p}$.

For (2) we apply Lemma 3.1(2) in each of the following situations

$$\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2 \subset \mathfrak{g} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2. \tag{3.2}$$

It follows from (3.1) that $(\mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h})$ is spherical for $L(Z_1) \times L(Z_2)$. Hence also for $L(Z_1) \times H_2$, and then (3.2) implies (2). □

From now on we assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real reductive Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ is a reductive algebraic subalgebra.

We let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$$

be the decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into simple factors. On the group level we let $G = G_1 \times \ldots \times G_k$ be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $H < G$ be the connected subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{h}$.

We write $p_i : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_i$ for the various projections and set $\mathfrak{h}_i := p_i(\mathfrak{h})$. We also use p_i for the projection on the group level $G \to G_i$ and set $H_i = p_i(H)$.

Our goal is to classify all real spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ under some natural assumptions which we explicate below.

As $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ real spherical implies that $(\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i)$ is real spherical for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ (cf. Lemma 3.11), this brings us to our first

Assumption 1: All $\mathfrak{h}_i < \mathfrak{g}_i$ are real spherical subalgebras.

Next we can exclude non-compact factors of $\mathfrak{g}$ by Lemma 3.2(1) which leads us to our second

Assumption 2: All $\mathfrak{g}_i$ are simple and non-compact.

We say that the pair $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ is *decomposable* if there exists a non-trivial decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_1\oplus\mathfrak{g}_2$ in ideals of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{h}_1\oplus\mathfrak{h}_2$ with $\mathfrak{h}_i=\mathfrak{h}\cap\mathfrak{g}_i$. It is clear that in this case $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical if and only if both components $(\mathfrak{g}_i,\mathfrak{h}_i)$ are real spherical. If $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ is not decomposable, then we call it *indecomposable*. The classification of all real spherical pairs thus reduces to the indecomposable pairs.

However, there exist indecomposable pairs with arbitrary many factors (see Remark 5.4). In order to exclude this exotic feature we impose an extra condition. Recall that by $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ we denote the ideal of $\mathfrak{h}$ generated by all non-compact simple subalgebras. We say that $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ is *strictly indecomposable* provided $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{n}})$ is indecomposable. The goal is then to classify all real spherical pairs which also satisfy

Assumption 3: $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ is strictly indecomposable.

4. The case of two factors

Here we assume that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_1\oplus\mathfrak{g}_2$ consists of two simple non-compact factors. Let

$$H_1'=\{x_1\in G_1\mid (x_1,\mathbf{1})\in H\},\quad H_2'=\{x_2\in G_2\mid(\mathbf{1},x_2)\in H\}.$$

These are easily seen to be normal subgroups of H_1 and H_2, respectively. It follows that $H_1'\times H_2'$ is a normal subgroup of $H_1\times H_2$, hence also of H. Let

$$H^0=H/H_1'\times H_2'.$$

Note that H^0 is not elementary as otherwise $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h}_n)$ would be decomposable.

For $x\in H$ we have $p_1(x)\in H_1'\Leftrightarrow p_2(x)\in H_2'$, and hence p_1 and p_2 induce diffeomorphisms $H^0\to H_1/H_1'$ and $H^0\to H_2/H_2'$. By means of the first we regard H^0 as a homogeneous space for H_1 (with trivial H_1'-action). Likewise for the other, except that it will be more convenient to equip H^0 with the right action of H_2 associated to $H^0\to H_2'\backslash H_2$.

It is now easily seen that by

$$(p_1(x),p_2(y))\mapsto xy^{-1},\quad x,y\in H$$

we obtain a well-defined map $H\times H\to H^0$. The restriction of this map to $H_1\times H_2$ is equivariant and induces an isomorphism of homogeneous spaces

$$H_1\times H_2/H\xrightarrow{\sim}H^0. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}_i''\lhd\mathfrak{h}_i$ be a complementary ideal to $\mathfrak{h}_i'$. Observe that $\mathfrak{h}_i''\simeq\mathfrak{h}^0$ and

$$\mathfrak{h}\simeq\mathfrak{h}_1'\oplus\mathfrak{h}^0\oplus\mathfrak{h}_2' \tag{4.2}$$

Let $L(Z_i)':=L(Z_i)\cap H_i'$ and $L(Z_i)''\subset H_i/H_i'\simeq H^0$ be the projection of $L(Z_i)$ for $i=1,2$. This leads to the exact sequence

$$\mathbf{1}\longrightarrow L(Z_i)'\longrightarrow L(Z_i)\longrightarrow L(Z_i)''\longrightarrow\mathbf{1},$$

which splits on the Lie algebra level and yields the local isomorphism

$$L(Z_i)\simeq L(Z_i)'\times L(Z_i)''. \tag{4.3}$$

We recall from Lemma 3.1(2) that $Z = G/H$ is real spherical if and only if $H_1 \times H_2/H$ is real spherical for $L(Z_1) \times L(Z_2)$. Under the identifications (4.1), (4.3) this means that H^0 is real spherical as $L(Z_1)'' \times L(Z_2)''$-variety. Moreover, we record that in this case

$$H^0 = L(Z_1)'' \times L(Z_2)''/L(Z_1)'' \cap L(Z_2)''$$

and by (2.2) there is the local isomorphism

$$L(Z) \simeq L(Z_1)' \times L(Z_2)' \times L(H^0)\,. \tag{4.4}$$

In the following diagrams, we describe a spherical pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ together with its structural algebra $\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ by a three-layered graph. The vertices in the top row consist of the simple factors $\mathfrak{g}_i$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and those in the second row of the simple (or central) factors $\mathfrak{h}^{(j)}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$. There is an edge between $\mathfrak{g}_i$ and $\mathfrak{h}^{(j)}$ if $p_i(\mathfrak{h}^{(j)})$ is nonzero. Likewise in the third row we list the factors of $\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ and the edges between the middle and last row correspond to non-zero embeddings into the various of factors of $\mathfrak{h}$. It might happen in the third rows that certain indices become negative, e.g. $\mathfrak{su}(p-2, q)$ for $p = 0, 1$, and then our convention is that the corresponding factor of $\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ is $\{0\}$. Cases which are absolutely spherical are marked with a.s. in the upper right corner of the corresponding box.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ be the decompostion of $\mathfrak{g}$ into simple non-compact subalgebras, and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a reductive subalgebra such that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is strictly indecomposable. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical if and only if it is isomorphic to an element of the following list.*

1) *If $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is one of the following*
   1a) *of group type, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_2$ and*

(S1) a.s.



   with $\mathfrak{g}_1$ non-compact simple,
   1b) *or exactly one $\mathfrak{h}_i$ equals $\mathfrak{g}_i$. In this case, $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is equivalent to one of the following pairs:*



2) *If* $\mathfrak{h}$ *is semisimple but not simple, then either*

2a) $\mathfrak{h}_2=\mathfrak{g}_2$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ *is equivalent to one of the pairs, where* $\mathbb{K},\mathbb{K}'=\mathbb{R}$ *or* $\mathbb{C}$ *and* $\mathbb{K}'\subset\mathbb{K}$





For the various possibilities of $\mathfrak{c}$ *and* $\mathfrak{c}_0$ *in* (SS14) *and* (SS15) *see Table 7.*

2b) *or* $\mathfrak{h}_i \neq \mathfrak{g}_i$ *for* $i = 1, 2$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ *is equivalent to one of the pairs where* $\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}', \mathbb{K}'' = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ *and* $\mathbb{K}'' \subset \mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{K}'$

(SS21) a.s.if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{K}'$, $m\geq 1, n\geq 3$



(SS22) a.s.if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$, $m\geq 1, p,q\geq 1$, $p+q\geq 3$



(SS23) a.s.if $\mathbb{K}''=\mathbb{K}'$, $m,n\geq 1$



(SS24) $n\geq 1$



(SS25) $n\geq 1, m\geq 3$



(SS26) $n\geq 1, m\geq 4$



(SS27) $n\geq 1, m\geq 3$



(SS28) $m\geq 3, n\geq 1$



(SS29) $n \geq 1$



(SS30) $n \geq 1$



3) *If* $\mathfrak{h} = [\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}] + \mathfrak{z}$ *is not semisimple, then there are two classes:*
3a) $(\mathfrak{g},[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}])$ *is already real spherical, hence belongs in* 1) *or* 2)*. Then* $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ *appears in the following list, where* $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{K}'$*:*

(R31) a.s., $p>0, q\geq 0$, $p\neq q, q+1$



(R32) $p>0, q\geq 0$



(R33) $n\geq 3, 0\neq \mathfrak{f}\subset \mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{K})$ a.s.if $\mathfrak{f}=\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{K})$



(R34) a.s. $p+q\geq 3, p,q\geq 1$



(R35) a.s.if $\mathfrak{i}=\mathfrak{u}(n-p)\wedge p=1, n-1$, $n-1\geq p\geq 1$



(R36) $n-2>p>1$



(R37) $m\geq 1, n\geq 3, 0\neq \mathfrak{f}\subset \mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{K})$ a.s.if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{K}'$ and $\mathfrak{f}=\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{K})$



(R38) $m\geq 1, p,q\geq 1$ $p+q\geq 3$



(R39) $n\geq 4$



[0]

(R40) $n\geq 3$



(R41) $n\geq 1, m\geq 3$



(R42) $n\geq 1, m\geq 4$



(R43) $n\geq 1, m\geq 3$



For the various possibilities for $(\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{i}_0)$ *see Table 8.*

3b) $(\mathfrak{g},[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}])$ *is not real spherical. Then* $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ *appears in the following list where* $\mathbb{K}\subset\mathbb{K}'$*:*



This theorem will be proven in various subsequent lemmas.

4.1. **The case where $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple.** If $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple, we have $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}^0$ with respect to (4.2). We can assume that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is not of group type. The building blocks for this situation will be when $\mathfrak{h}$ is isomorphic to one factor, say $\mathfrak{h} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_2$. For the treatment of this case we use simplified notation: Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a reductive Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ a reductive subalgebra. We

say that $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ is *strongly real spherical* provided that $G\times H/H$ is real spherical. In the first step we classify all strongly real spherical pairs with $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ both non-compact simple.

We let L be the Levi of the adapted parabolic of G/H and $L_H = L\cap H$. An immediate consequence of Corollary 2.5 then is:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ be a real spherical pair with structure algebra $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive and $\mathfrak{h}$ reductive in $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *$(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ is strongly real spherical.*
(2) *$(\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h})$ is strongly real spherical.*

Moreover if these conditions hold, then

$$\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h})\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{h}^1=\{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^2=\mathfrak{h}$ in Corollary 2.5. □

Note that in particular, if (G,H) is strongly real spherical, we have that L_H is a spherical subgroup of H.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{h}\subsetneq\mathfrak{g}$ a simple non-compact real spherical subalgebra.*

(a) *The triples $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h})$ such that $(\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical are given as follows up to isomorphism:*
  (1) $(\mathfrak{sl}(n+2,\mathbb{K}),\mathfrak{sl}(n+1,\mathbb{K}),\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{K}))$ *for* $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C},\mathbb{H}$ *and* $n\geq 1$
  (2) $(\mathfrak{su}(p+1,q+1),\mathfrak{su}(p,q+1),\mathfrak{su}(p,q))$ *for* $p+q\geq 1$
  (3) $(\mathfrak{so}(n+1,\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{so}(n-1,\mathbb{C}))$ *for* $n\geq 5$
  (4) $(\mathfrak{so}(p+1,q+1),\mathfrak{so}(p,q+1),\mathfrak{so}(p,q))$ *for* $p+q\geq 2$ *and* $(p,q)\neq(1,1),(2,1)$
  (5) $(\mathfrak{so}^*(2n+4),\mathfrak{so}^*(2n+2),\mathfrak{so}^*(2n))$ *for* $n\geq 3$
  (6) $(\mathfrak{so}(2,2n),\mathfrak{su}(1,n),\mathfrak{su}(n-1)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(1,1))$ *for* $n\geq 3$
  (7) $(\mathfrak{so}(7,\mathbb{C}),\mathsf{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$
  (8) $(\mathfrak{so}(3,4),\mathsf{G}_2^{1},\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R}))$
  (9) $(\mathfrak{sp}(p+1,q+1),\mathfrak{sp}(p,q+1),\mathfrak{sp}(p,q))$ *for* $p>0$
  (10) $(\mathsf{F}_4^{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{so}(9,\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{spin}(7,\mathbb{C}))$
  (11) $(\mathsf{F}_4^{1},\mathfrak{so}(4,5),\mathfrak{spin}(3,4))$
  (12) $(\mathsf{F}_4^{2},\mathfrak{so}(1,8),\mathfrak{spin}(7))$
  (13) $(\mathsf{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$
  (14) $(\mathsf{G}_2^{1},\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R}),\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  (15) $(\mathsf{G}_2^{1},\mathfrak{su}(2,1),\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$

(b) *The strongly real spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ are:*
  (2) *for* $p\notin\{q,q+1\}$, (3), (4), (5), (6), (9) *and* (12).

Moreover, the structural algebras $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h})$ are given by

$(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$	$\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h})$
(2)	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{su}(q-p) & \text{for } p<q\\ \mathfrak{su}(p-q-1) & \text{for } p>q+1\end{cases}$
(3)	$\{0\}$
(4)	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{so}(q-p) & \text{for } p\le q\\ \mathfrak{so}(p-q-1) & \text{for } p>q\end{cases}$
(5)	$\{0\}$
(6)	$\mathfrak{su}(n-2)$
(9)	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sp}(q-p) & \text{for } p\le q\\ \mathfrak{sp}(p-q-1) & \text{for } p>q\end{cases}$
(12)	G_2

Proof. Part (a) can be easily obtained from Tables 2 - 6. We proceed with (b) and let $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ be a pair such that $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h})$ satisfies (a).

In the cases where $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ is complex, we can refer to [4], [12]. Hence, it follows that (3) is strongly real spherical, while (7), (10) and (13) are not. Further (11) is a split real form of (10) and hence is not strongly real spherical. However, (4) is a real form of (3), hence it is also strongly real spherical by Lemma 2.1.

There is an alternative to verify that (3) is strongly spherical, which has the advantage that it also yields the structural algebra $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h})$. Indeed by Corollary 4.2, $(\mathfrak{so}(n+1,\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C}))$ is strongly real spherical if and only if $(\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{so}(n-1,\mathbb{C}))$ is strongly real spherical, and the structural algebras for these two pairs are isomorphic. Hence iteration brings us down to the case $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})=(\mathfrak{so}(4,\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{so}(3,\mathbb{C}))$. Because of the isomorphism $\mathfrak{so}(4,\mathbb{C})=\mathfrak{so}(3,\mathbb{C})\oplus\mathfrak{so}(3,\mathbb{C})$ this pair is known to be strongly real spherical with trivial structural algebra.

In a similar way we can treat (4). If $p=q$, then both $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are quasi-split, and $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h})=\{0\}$ by what we have just shown. Suppose that $p<q$. Iteration brings us down to $(\mathfrak{so}(1,q+1-p),\mathfrak{so}(q-p+1))$ which is strongly real spherical by Lemma 3.2(1). Moreover, $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h})=\mathfrak{so}(q-p)$. If $p>q$, then iteration leaves us with $(\mathfrak{so}(p-q,1),\mathfrak{so}(p-q))$ which has structural algebra $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h})=\mathfrak{so}(p-q-1)$.

We proceed analogously in (2) and (9). For $p\le q$ the sequence for $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})=(\mathfrak{su}(p+1,q+1),\mathfrak{su}(p,q+1))$ ends up at $(\mathfrak{su}(1,q-p+1),\mathfrak{su}(q-p+1))$ which is strongly real spherical if and only if $p<q$ by Lemma 3.2(1). Moreover, if $p<q$ one has $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h})=\mathfrak{su}(q-p)$. Similarly, for $p>q$, the sequence terminates at $(\mathfrak{su}(1,p-q),\mathfrak{su}(p-q))$ which is strongly real spherical if and only if $p>q+1$ and then has $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h})=\mathfrak{su}(p-q-1)$. The sequence for (9) terminates at $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})=(\mathfrak{sp}(1,q-p+1),\mathfrak{sp}(q-p+1))$ when $p\le q$, and at $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})=(\mathfrak{sp}(1,p-q),\mathfrak{sp}(p-q))$ when $p>q$. Both are strongly real spherical by Lemma 3.2(1). Moreover we obtain for $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h})$ that it is equal to $\mathfrak{sp}(q-p)$ for $p\le q$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(p-q-1)$ if $p>q$.

The case (1) can also be determined by iteration. Here we end with $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})=(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{K}),\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{K}))$ which cannot be strongly real spherical as it is not even real spherical (see the classification in [6]). Hence (1) is not real spherical.

We turn to (6). It follows from Corollary 2.5 that $(\mathfrak{so}(2,2n),\mathfrak{su}(1,n))$ is strongly real spherical if and only if $(\mathfrak{su}(1,n),\mathfrak{su}(1,1)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(n-1))$ is strongly real spherical. Applying Corollary 2.5 again we obtain that this is the case if and only if $(\mathfrak{su}(1,1)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(n-1),\mathrm{diag}(\mathfrak{u}(1))\oplus\mathfrak{su}(n-$

2)) is strongly spherical. Since $\mathfrak{su}(n-1)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ are both compact the latter is equivalent to $(\mathfrak{su}(1,1),\mathfrak{u}(1))$ being real spherical, which is true. Further we obtain from Corollary 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{so}(2,2n)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(1,n),\mathfrak{su}(1,n)) &= \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{su}(1,1)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(n-1)\oplus\mathfrak{u}(n-2),\mathfrak{u}(n-2))\\ &= \mathfrak{su}(n-2)\oplus\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{su}(1,1)\oplus\mathfrak{u}(1),\mathfrak{u}(1)) = \mathfrak{su}(n-2)\,.\end{aligned}$$

The case (5) is again determined by induction. The sequence terminates at $(\mathfrak{so}^*(8),\mathfrak{so}^*(6))\simeq(\mathfrak{so}(2,6),\mathfrak{su}(1,3))$ which is strongly real spherical with structural algebra $\{0\}$ by case (6).

It follows from Corollary 4.2 and the dimension bound, that the cases (8), (14) and (15) are not strongly real spherical.

Finally (12) is strongly real spherical if and only if $(\mathfrak{so}(8,1),\mathfrak{spin}(7))$ is strongly real spherical, and by Lemma 3.2(1) if and only if that pair is real spherical. This is actually the case, by the classification in [6]. Morover, since $\mathrm{Spin}(7)\cap\mathrm{SO}(7)=\mathsf{G}_2$ it follows that $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h})=\mathsf{G}_2$. □

Let us now discuss the general case with $\mathfrak{h}$ simple.

Proposition 4.4. *For $k=2$, a strictly indecomposable real spherical pair $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ with $\mathfrak{h}$ simple arises from a strongly real spherical pair, i.e. $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})=(\mathfrak{g}'\oplus\mathfrak{h}',\mathfrak{h}')$ with $(\mathfrak{g}',\mathfrak{h}')$ strongly spherical. The cases are listed in Theorem 4.1 (1).*

Proof. The strongly spherical pairs were determined in Lemma 4.3(b) and they correspond exactly to diagrams (S2) – (S8). Thus it only remains to exclude further cases.

For this we can assume that $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})=(\mathfrak{g}_1\oplus\mathfrak{g}_2,\mathfrak{h})$ with $\mathfrak{g}_1,\mathfrak{g}_2\neq\mathfrak{h}$. Then $H=H^0$ is spherical for the action of $L_1\times L_2$. Further it follows from Lemma 3.2 (2) that both $G_1\times H/H$ and $G_2\times H/H$ need to be real spherical, i.e. both $(\mathfrak{g}_i,\mathfrak{h})$ need to be one of the strongly real spherical pairs of Lemma 4.3. Using the lemma we can easily check that there are only the following possibilities, and we claim that none of these lead to a real spherical pair $(\mathfrak{g}_1\oplus\mathfrak{g}_2,\mathfrak{h})$.

$\mathfrak{g}_1=\mathfrak{so}(n+1,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{g}_2=\mathfrak{g}_1$	$\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$
$\mathfrak{g}_1=\mathfrak{so}(p+1,q)$	$\mathfrak{g}_2=\mathfrak{g}_1$ or $\mathfrak{so}(p,q+1)$	$\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$
$\mathfrak{g}_1=\mathfrak{su}(p+1,q)$	$\mathfrak{g}_2=\mathfrak{g}_1$ or $\mathfrak{su}(p,q+1)$	$\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$
$\mathfrak{g}_1=\mathfrak{sp}(p+1,q)$	$\mathfrak{g}_2=\mathfrak{g}_1$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(p,q+1)$	$\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$
$\mathfrak{g}_1=\mathsf{F}_4^2$	$\mathfrak{g}_2=\mathfrak{g}_1$ or $\mathfrak{so}(2,8)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(1,9)$	$\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{so}(1,8)$

Consider first $\mathfrak{g}_1=\mathfrak{g}_2=\mathfrak{so}(n+1,\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$ with $n\geq 5$. Note that $L_1=L_2=\mathrm{SO}(n-1,\mathbb{C})$ up to isomorphism, and hence $H=\mathrm{SO}(n,\mathbb{C})$ is not homogeneous for $L_1\times L_2$ by the factorization results of Onishchik (see [6]), except when $n=8$. However, a simple dimension count excludes that $\mathrm{SO}(8,\mathbb{C})$ is real spherical for $\mathrm{SO}(7,\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{SO}(7,\mathbb{C})$.

The second case is the most subtle. If this was possible, then $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{so}(p,q)=\mathfrak{l}^1_\mathfrak{h}+\mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}$ with $\mathfrak{l}^1_\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{so}(p,q-1)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(p-1,q)$, up to isomorphism. According to Onishchik, this is only possible if $p+q=8$. Since moreover H is real spherical for the action of $\mathfrak{l}^1_\mathfrak{h}\times\mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}$ we conclude by dimension count that $\mathfrak{l}^1_\mathfrak{h}$ or $\mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(1,6)$. This forces that $\mathfrak{h}$ is either isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(2,6)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(1,7)$. But this is not compatible with Onishchik's list by the signature rules for the real forms of $\mathfrak{spin}(7,\mathbb{C})$.

In the remaining cases the result of Onishchik immediately excludes the relevant factorizations of $\mathfrak{h}$. □

4.2. **The case where $\mathfrak{h}$ is semi-simple and not simple.** We begin with the case where the projection $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}_1$ is an isomorphism and where $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_2$. The general case will be built on this. The next lemma classifies these cases.

Lemma 4.5. *For $k = 2$, the list of all spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ with $\mathfrak{h}$ semi-simple but not simple, $\mathfrak{h} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_2$ is given by part* (2a) *of Theorem 4.1. Moreover, the structural algebras are as indicated in the diagrams.*

Proof. Let us first translate the problem and slightly change notation. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be non-compact simple and $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ be a semi-simple real spherical subalgebra such that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$ with $\mathfrak{h}_2$ non-compact simple. Let $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$ be the adapted parabolic for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}$ the projection of $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{h}_2$. The requested pairs in the lemma arise as $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h})$, and according to Corollary 2.5 we need to find all such pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ for which $(\mathfrak{h}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical. In particular, a necessary condition is that $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical.

We begin with the classical cases. From Tables 2 - 6 we obtain the following Table 1, of pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ as above for which $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical.

	$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$	$(\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h})$
(1)	$(\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sl}(n-2,\mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$	$(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$ for $n \geq 5$
(2)	$(\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(n-2,\mathbb{R}) + \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$	$(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$ for $n \geq 5$
(3)	$(\mathfrak{su}(p,q), \mathfrak{su}(p-1,q-1) + \mathfrak{su}(1,1))$	$(\mathfrak{su}(1,1), i\mathbb{R})$ for $(p,q) \neq (2,2), (1,2)$
(4)	$(\mathfrak{su}(1,q), \mathfrak{e} + \mathfrak{su}(1,q_2))$	$(\mathfrak{su}(1,q_2), \mathfrak{u}(q_2))$ for $q_2 \geq 1$ and $q - q_2 \geq 2$
(5)	$(\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H}), \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H}) + \mathfrak{sl}(n-1,\mathbb{H}))$	$(\mathfrak{sl}(n-1,\mathbb{H}), \mathfrak{sl}(n-2,\mathbb{H}))$
(6)	$(\mathfrak{so}(1,q), \mathfrak{e} + \mathfrak{so}(1,q_2))$	$(\mathfrak{so}(1,q_2), \mathfrak{so}(q_2))$ for $q_2 > 1$ and $q - q_2 \geq 3$
(7)	$(\mathfrak{so}^*(2n), \mathfrak{so}^*(2n-4) + \mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$	$(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2))$
(8)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{C}))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{C}))$
(9)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{C}))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-2,\mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{C}))$
(10)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}))$
(11)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-2,\mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}))$
(12)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-2,\mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1))$
(13)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{R}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}))$
(14)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{R}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-2,\mathbb{R}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}))$
(15)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-2,\mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{sp}(2,\mathbb{C}))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(2,\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{C}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{C}))$
(16)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sp}(n-2,\mathbb{R}) + \mathfrak{sp}(2,\mathbb{R}))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(2,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R}))$
(17)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(p,q), \mathfrak{sp}(p-1,q-1) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,1))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(1,1), \mathfrak{sp}(1) + \mathfrak{sp}(1))$
(18)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(p,q), \mathfrak{sp}(1) + \mathfrak{sp}(p-1,q))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(p-1,q), \mathfrak{sp}(p-1,q-1) + \mathfrak{sp}(1))$
(19)	$(\mathfrak{sp}(1,q), \mathfrak{sp}(q-q_2) + \mathfrak{sp}(1,q_2))$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(1,q_2), \mathfrak{sp}(1) + \mathfrak{sp}(q_2-1))$

Table 1

The possibilities for $\mathfrak{e}$ in (4) and (6) see Table 7.

We claim that the following cases from above give rise to a strongly spherical pair $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h})$, and hence $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical. In each case we refer to the corresponding diagram in Table (2a).

(1)–(SS9)	(4)–(SS15)	(8)–(SS11)	(15)–(SS12)	(18)–(SS18)
(2)–(SS9)	(6)–(SS14)	(10)–(SS11)	(16)–(SS12)	(19)–(SS16)
(3)–(SS10)	(7)–(SS17)	(13)–(SS11)	(17)–(SS13)	

For (1) and (2) this is well known. We also note that all cases where $\mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}$ is compact are strongly spherical, since they reduce to $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h})$ by Lemma 3.2(1). These are (3), (4), (6), (7),

(17) and (19). As for (5), we can exclude it as $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}^2)$ does not show up in Lemma 4.3. In (8), (10), (13) strong sphericality is obvious. Both (15) and its real form (16) are strongly spherical, since (15) belongs to the list of Brion-Mikityuk. Further, (18) is an $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$-extension of (S7), hence strongly spherical. In all these cases it is then easy to verify $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h})$ in the corresponding diagram by means of (2.4).

Among the classical cases we remain with (9), (11), (12), and (14). Note that (9) and (14) are special cases of (8), (15) and (13), (16) when $n = 2, 3$. Apart from these the remaining cases can be excluded with the dimension bound (i.e. for a real spherical pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ one has $\dim \mathfrak{g} \leq \dim \mathfrak{h} + \dim \mathfrak{p}$).

We turn to the exceptional cases. We recall from [4], [12] that here there are no complex strongly spherical pairs so that we can disregard all cases where $\mathfrak{g}$ is quasisplit. Inspecting Tables 3 - 6 we are left to check the following two cases for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}_2)$:

(20) $(\mathsf{E}_6^3, \mathfrak{su}(5,1) + \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ with $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(2)$.
(21) $(\mathsf{E}_7^3, \mathfrak{so}(10,2) + \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ with $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2,1)$.

In these two cases we need to determine $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}^2$. Moreover, the particular case is spherical iff $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}^2 \neq 0$. We will now show that this happens in both cases, and obtain the diagrams (SS19) and (SS20).

We begin with (20) and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathsf{E}_6^3$. Note that the fact that $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$ is compact implies that $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}$ is the Levi-part of a minimal parabolic. Observe that $\mathfrak{g}$ is Hermitian with maximal compact $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$. We recall that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{so}(2) \subset \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{u}(4) \subset \mathfrak{l}$. Hence $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$ as $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{u}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(2)$ contains the center of $\mathfrak{m}$.

We claim that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \not\subset \mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{su}(5,1)$ which implies $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}^2 \neq 0$. Indeed, if $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \mathfrak{h}_1$, then $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$ would act trivially on $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$, and since $\mathfrak{h}_2 \cap \mathfrak{k}^\perp \neq \{0\}$ that would be a contradiction to the fact that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$ acts without non-trivial fixed points on $\mathfrak{k}^\perp$. We have thus shown that $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}^2) = (\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ and thus $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{h}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}^2, \mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}^2) = \{0\}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ and thus $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h})$ emerges via (2.4).

We move on with the exceptional case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathsf{E}_7^3$ with $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{so}(10,2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Notice that the procedure mentioned in Section 6 to determine $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$ also gives $\mathfrak{l}$. In this particular case we obtain $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_Z := \mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2,1) \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\mathfrak{a}_Z = \mathbb{R}^2$ the center of $\mathfrak{l}$. Further $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2,1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$. In order to show that $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}^2 \neq 0$ it is sufficient to show that $\mathfrak{so}(2) \not\subset \mathfrak{h}_1$. Assume the contrary and consider the $\mathfrak{h}$-module $V := \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$. Let V_{32} be the 32-dimensional spin representation of $\mathfrak{h}_{1,\mathbb{C}}$, then it is easy to see that $V = V_{32} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ as $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$-module. Hence if $\mathfrak{so}(2) \subset \mathfrak{h}_1$, we deduce that V, considered as $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{h},\mathbb{C}}$-module, decomposes with even multiplicities. On the other hand $V \simeq (\mathfrak{l}/\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u})_\mathbb{C}$ as $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{h},\mathbb{C}}$-module.

In the next step we investigate the $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$-module $\mathfrak{u}$. We recall from [14] that the root system $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_Z)$ is of type BC_2,

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_Z) = \{\pm\epsilon_1, \pm 2\epsilon_1, \pm\epsilon_2, \pm 2\epsilon_2, \pm\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2\}.$$

We decompose $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1$ where $\mathfrak{u}_0$ corresponds to the short roots and $\mathfrak{u}_1$ to the non-short roots. All root spaces are $\mathfrak{l}$-modules. Further, we recall a general result of Vinberg (see [16]) which implies that all restricted root spaces are prehomogeneous $\mathfrak{l}$-modules, in particular irreducible $[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]$-modules. We first claim that $\mathfrak{u}_1$ decomposes with even multiplicities. According to [14], the long root spaces are real one-dimensional, hence trivial as $[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]$-modules and thus also as $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$-modules. We now assert that root spaces corresponding to roots from $\{\pm\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2\}$ are isomorphic as $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$-modules. Indeed, we have just seen that $\mathfrak{g}^{\pm 2\epsilon_i} \simeq \mathbb{R}$ are trivial $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$-modules.

Now take for example the root space $\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2}$ and $0 \neq X_i \in \mathfrak{g}^{2\epsilon_i}$. Then, finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$-representation theory yields that bracketing with X_2 results in an $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$-equivariant isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2}$. Iterating then implies that all $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \{\pm\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2\}$ are isomorphic as $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$-modules (even as $[\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{l}]$-modules). Our claim follows.

We remain with $\mathfrak{u}_0$ which consists of short roots $\mathfrak{g}^{\pm\epsilon_i}$. First note that $\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon_i}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}^{-\epsilon_i}$ as $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$-module via the bracketing argument from above. According to [14] the $\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon_i}$ are real 8-dimensional. Let us fix one, say $W := \mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon_1}$. Since W is a prehomogeneous $\mathfrak{l}$-module it follows that there are three possibilities for W (triality):

$$\mathbb{R}^8 \qquad \mathfrak{spin}(8)_+ \qquad \mathfrak{spin}(8)_-$$

where $\mathbb{R}^8$ indicates the standard representation. Observe that W is uniquely determined by its branching to $\mathfrak{so}(6) \simeq \mathfrak{su}(4)$ which is respectively

$$\mathbb{R}^6 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2 \qquad \mathbb{C}^4 \qquad (\mathbb{C}^4)^* . \tag{4.6}$$

To sum up: if $\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon_1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon_2}$ are isomorphic, then $\mathfrak{u}_0$ decomposes with even multiplicities as an $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$-module. Otherwise, the $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$-module $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is a sum of two which are listed in (4.6).

Recall that V was assumed to decompose with even-multiplicities. We have just shown that $\mathfrak{u}_1$ decomposes with even multiplicities and determined the possible branchings for $\mathfrak{u}_0$. Now, $\mathfrak{so}(8,\mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{so}(6,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2,\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^6 \oplus (\mathbb{C}^6)^*$ and we observe that $\mathbb{C}^6$ and $(\mathbb{C}^6)^*$ are inequivalent as $\mathfrak{so}(6,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathfrak{so}(2,\mathbb{C})$-modules. Together with our branching results for $\mathfrak{u}_0$ and $\mathfrak{u}_1$ we thus obtain a contradiction.

It follows that $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}) = (\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ and hence $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{h}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{l}^2_\mathfrak{h}) = \{0\}$. In addition $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2,1)$ by Remark 8.2 in [6] and we obtain $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h})$ via (2.4). □

Proof of Theorem 4.1 (2b): Let us now consider the case of a semi-simple and not simple real spherical subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ with $\mathfrak{h}_i \neq \mathfrak{g}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We use the notation of (4.2). Since $\mathfrak{h}^0 \neq 0$ both $\mathfrak{h}_1$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2$ cannot be simple. Hence it is no loss of generality to assume that $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}'_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0$ is semi-simple and not simple. First observe that $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical by Lemma 3.2 (2). Then, by Lemma 3.1 (1) we deduce that $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ is real spherical. Let $\mathfrak{h}^{0,+} \lhd \mathfrak{h}^0$ be a simple non-compact factor. It follows that $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^{0,+}, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ must show up in the list of Theorem 4.1 (2a). From this list we now deduce that $\mathfrak{h}_1$ has only two simple factors, except for $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{so}^*(2n+4)$ or $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1) = (\mathfrak{so}(1,n), \mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(1,n-4))$.

Arguing similarly we also obtain that $(\mathfrak{h}^{0,+} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{h}_2)$ is real spherical and thus must show up in the lists of Theorem 4.1 (1b), (2a).

Recall that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical if and only if H^0 is spherical as an $L(Z_1)'' \times L(Z_2)''$-variety. To simplify notation, let $\mathfrak{l}''_i$ be the Lie algebra of $L(Z_i)''$. Hence we obtain $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{l}''_1 + \mathfrak{l}''_2$ as a necessary condition for sphericality.

Let us assume first that $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{h}^{0,+}$. Inspecting the list in Theorem 4.1 (2a) we see that $\mathfrak{h}^0$ is either symplectic or of rank one. We begin with the symplectic case and recall that symplectic Lie algebras do not admit non-trivial factorizations. Hence if $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical, then this forces that $\mathfrak{l}''_1$ or $\mathfrak{l}''_2$ equals $\mathfrak{h}^0$. If $\mathfrak{l}''_1 = \mathfrak{h}^0$, then this means that we are in the situations (8), (10) or (13) in Table 1. We can draw the same conclusion if $\mathfrak{l}''_2 = \mathfrak{h}^0$. Thus in case $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{h}^{0,+}$ is symplectic we may assume that $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ is one of the pairs $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h})$ in (8), (10) or (13). In particular $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{l}''_1 = \mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{K})$. Being in this situation $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ then is real spherical iff $\mathfrak{l}''_2$ contains $\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{K})$, $\mathfrak{so}(2,\mathbb{K})$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R})$.

This situation only occurs for $(\mathfrak{h}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{h}_2)$ being from the list of Theorem 4.1 (2a), to be precise for

$$(1), (2), (3), (4) \text{ for } q_2 = 1, (6) \text{ for } q_2 = 2, 3, (7), (8), (10), (11) \text{ for } n = 2, (13), (20), (21)$$

in Table 1. In all these cases the structural algebras $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$ can be read off via (4.4). In particular, if $\mathfrak{l}'_i$ is the Lie-algebra of $L(Z_i)'$, then we have $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{l}'_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}'_2$ except when $(\mathfrak{h}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{h}_2)$ is also of type (8), (10) or (13). In the latter case we have $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{l}'_1 \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{l}'_2$ with $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ determined by $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{sp}(1, \mathbb{K})$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{K})$ tri-diagonal embedded into $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}'_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}'_2$.

We move on where $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{h}^{0,+}$ is of rank one and not symplectic, and hence equals $\mathfrak{so}(1, p)$ or $\mathfrak{su}(1, p)$. For that we first recall the following fact from [6], Lemma 2.4: If $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_1 + \mathfrak{s}_2$ is a non-trivial factorization of a reductive algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ with one factor compact, then $\mathfrak{s}$ is compact.

We begin with the case where $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{so}(1, p)$ for $p \geq 4$. Then $\mathfrak{l}''_1$ is compact and hence we must have $\mathfrak{l}''_2 = \mathfrak{h}^0$ which is not possible. Likewise we can exclude the case where $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{su}(1, p)$ for $p > 1$.

To summarize, the cases where $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{h}^{0,+}$ give rise to (SS21) - (SS30). We remain with showing that $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{h}^{0,+}$. Suppose the contrary and let $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{h}^{0,+} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^{0,-}$ with $\mathfrak{h}^{0,-} \neq \{0\}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_i^-$ be the projection of $\mathfrak{h}^{0,-}$ to $\mathfrak{h}_i$. We consider $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h}_1^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ and observe that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}^0 = \mathfrak{h}^{0,+}$. Hence the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ must be one of the cases (SS21) - (SS30). Inspecting this list we quickly see that this is impossible. Indeed, let us begin with the case where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ has three factors. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}'_1 \simeq \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}'_2$ since $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_1^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}^{0,+} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1^-$. Hence $(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ needs to be (SS23) for $n = m$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}'$. A simple dimension count then shows that $\dim \mathfrak{h} + \dim \mathfrak{p} < \dim \mathfrak{g}$ and thus $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is not real spherical. Likewise the case where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ has four factors is ruled out right away. □

4.3. **The case where $\mathfrak{h}$ is not semi-simple.**

Proof of Theorem 4.1 (3a): We only have to determine the cases in Theorem 4.1(1),(2) where one can possibly enlarge $\mathfrak{h}_1$ by a central subgroup. This then results in the asserted list. □

For the proof of (3b) of the theorem we consider as usual first the case where $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_2$. We assume that $\mathfrak{h}$ is reductive, but not semi-simple.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-compact simple and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{h}''$ is a reductive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{h}'$ not semisimple and $\mathfrak{h}''$ non-compact simple. Assume $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical, and let $\mathfrak{l}''$ be the projection of $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{h}''$. Then $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'', \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical with $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'', [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}])$ not real spherical if and only if $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}'', \mathfrak{l}'')$ is one of the following:*

(1) $(\mathfrak{sl}(n+2, \mathbb{K}), \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{K})), \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{K}), \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{K}))$ *for* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ *and* $n = 1, 2$,
(2) $(\mathfrak{su}(2, 2), \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(1, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1, 1)), \mathfrak{su}(1, 1), \mathfrak{u}(1))$,
(3) $(\mathfrak{su}(p+1, q+1), \mathfrak{u}(p, q+1), \mathfrak{su}(p, q+1), \mathfrak{u}(p, q))$ *for* $p = q, q+1$,
(4) $(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K}), \mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{K}), \mathfrak{sl}(n-1, \mathbb{K}), \mathfrak{gl}(n-2, \mathbb{K}))$ *for* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ *and* $n \geq 3$,
(5) $(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{H}), \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{H}) + \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H}), \mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{H}) + \mathfrak{f})$ *for* $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(1, \mathbb{H})$,
(6) $(\mathfrak{so}(1, n), \mathfrak{so}(2) + \mathfrak{so}(1, n-2), \mathfrak{so}(1, n-2), \mathfrak{so}(n-2))$,
(7) $(\mathsf{E}_6^4, \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R}) + \mathfrak{so}(9, 1), \mathfrak{so}(9, 1), \mathfrak{so}(1, 1) + \mathfrak{spin}(7))$.

Moreover, the structural algebras $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'', \mathfrak{h})$ *are given by*

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$	$\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'', \mathfrak{h})$
(1)	0
(2)	0
(3)	0
(4)	0
(5)	$\mathfrak{f}$
(6)	$\mathfrak{so}(n-3)$
(7)	G_2

Proof. First note that $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'', \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical if and only if $(\mathfrak{h}'', \mathfrak{l}'')$ is strongly real spherical by Corollary 2.5. Under the additional assumption that $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'', [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}])$ is not real spherical the asserted list is extracted from Tables 2 - 6.

The table for $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'', \mathfrak{h})$ follows inductively via (2.4). This is straightforward for (1) - (6). For (7) we obtain with Corollary 2.5 applied iteratively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'', \mathfrak{h}) &\simeq \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{so}(9,1) \oplus [\mathfrak{so}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{spin}(7)], \mathfrak{so}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{spin}(7)) \\ &\simeq \mathfrak{l}([\mathfrak{so}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{spin}(7)] \oplus \mathsf{G}_2, \mathsf{G}_2) \\ &\simeq \mathsf{G}_2\,. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1(3b): Let us assume first that $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{h})$ is of the type where $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_2$. Then we can use Lemma 4.6, from which we obtain all diagrams of (3b) except (R50) and (R51).

Having classified all cases with $\mathfrak{h}$ reductive and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_2$ we can now complete the proof of Theorem 4.1(3). Suppose that $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{h})$ is spherical with $\mathfrak{h}$ reductive and not semi-simple. It is no loss of generality to assume that $\mathfrak{h}_1$ is not semisimple. We let $\mathfrak{h}^0 \lhd \mathfrak{h}$ be a simple non-compact ideal with non-zero projections to $\mathfrak{h}_1$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2$. Then $\mathfrak{h}_i \simeq \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_i := \mathfrak{h}_i' \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0$ for $i = 1, 2$. The fact that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is real spherical implies that $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_2)$ are both real spherical. Note that $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_1)$ is part of what we just classified and likewise for $(\mathfrak{h}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_2)$ with $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_2$ possibly semi-simple. Moreover if we let $\mathfrak{l}_i^0$ be the projection of $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i)$ to $\mathfrak{h}^0 \subset \mathfrak{h}_i$, then $\mathfrak{h}^0$ has to be real spherical for the action of $\mathfrak{l}_1^0 \times \mathfrak{l}_2^0$.

Using the already obtained tables it is now an elementary procedure to determine all the remaining cases. As an example we do the computation for the case where $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_1)$ is (R44). In this case $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_1) = (\mathfrak{sl}(n+2, \mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{K}), \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{K}))$ with $n = 1, 2$ and $\mathfrak{l}_1^0 = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{K})$. A quick inspection of the already obtained lists implies that $(\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_2)$ is of one of the forms:

- $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_1)$,
- $(\mathfrak{h}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0, \mathfrak{h}^0)$,
- (SS11) with the reversed roles of $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbb{K}'$, and $\mathfrak{l}_2^0 = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{K})$.

The first two of these give nothing new, and the last case gives (R50).

The remaining cases are treated similarly. The two cases where $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_1)$ is (R45) and (R46) contribute the values $p = 1$ and $p = 0$ respectively, of (R51). The other cases do not contribute anything new. □

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

5. More than two factors

Having classified all cases with two factors and their corresponding structural algebras, it is now a manageable task to complete the classification. The key is Proposition 5.3 which limits the investigation to the case $k = 3$. We start our examination with the real spherical triple spaces.

Consider $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k$. For $i, j, \ldots \in \{1, 2, \ldots k\}$ we write $H_{ij\ldots}$ for the projection of H to $G_{ij\ldots} = G_i \times G_j \times \cdots$ and we set $Z_{ij\ldots} = G_{ij\ldots}/H_{ij\ldots}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple non-compact Lie algebra. Then $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{diag}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is real spherical if and only if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$ for $n \geq 2$. The corresponding diagram reads*



Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ be a minimal parabolic of $\mathfrak{g}$, and note that Z_{12} is real spherical with structural algebra $\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a}$ according to diagram (S1). We consider the tower $H' = H_{12} \times H_3 = \mathrm{diag}_2(G) \times G \supset H = \mathrm{diag}_3(G)$. Then according to Proposition 2.3, Z is real spherical if and only if $MA \times P$ has an open orbit on G and in this case the structure algebra is $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a})$. This means that MA has an open orbit on N. Since MA preserves restricted root spaces, this implies that $\dim A$ equals the number of positive roots for the restricted root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. It follows that the restricted root system is of type A_1, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{so}(1, n)$ for some $n \geq 2$. Conversely, in these cases MA has an open orbit on N. □

Suppose that $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{h}_2)$ are reductive pairs such that $\mathfrak{h}_1 \simeq \mathfrak{h}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}'_1$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2 \simeq \mathfrak{h}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}'_2$ for a reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}^0 \neq 0$. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h}'_1 \oplus \mathrm{diag}(\mathfrak{h}^0) \oplus \mathfrak{h}'_2 < \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$. Then we refer to the reductive pair $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ as the *gluing of* $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{h}_2)$ *along* $\mathfrak{h}^0$.

Theorem 5.2. *The strictly indecomposable real spherical spaces with $k = 3$ are the triple cases from Lemma 5.1 and the following:*

(BM54) $m, n \geq 1$



(BM55) $m, n, k \geq 1$



Here $\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}', \mathbb{K}'', \mathbb{K}''' = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ subject to the following additional conditions:

(1) *In* (BM54) *one has $\mathbb{K}' = \mathbb{K}''$ if $m = 1$, resp. $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}''$ if $n = 1$.*

(2) *In* (BM55) *one has $\mathbb{K}''' = \mathbb{K}$ if $n = 1$, $\mathbb{K}''' = \mathbb{K}'$ if $m = 1$ and $\mathbb{K}''' = \mathbb{K}''$ if $k = 1$.*

and the absolutely spherical cases are those with $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}' = \mathbb{K}'' = \mathbb{K}'''$.

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ be a strictly indecomposable spherical pair with $k = 3$. Without loss of generality we may assume that Z_{12} and Z_{23} are strictly indecomposable. Hence both show up in the list of Theorem 4.1.

We begin with the case where $\mathfrak{g}_3 = \mathfrak{h}_3$, and write $\mathfrak{h}_{12} = \mathfrak{h}'_{12} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^0$ with $\mathfrak{h}^0 \simeq \mathfrak{h}_3$. Let $\mathfrak{l}^0_{12}$ be the projection of $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}_{12}, \mathfrak{h}_{12})$ to $\mathfrak{h}^0$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for Z to be real spherical is that $(\mathfrak{h}^0, \mathfrak{l}^0_{12})$ is strongly real spherical. By inspecting the lists in Theorem 4.1 we see that this can only happen if either $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{h}^0$ (case (S1)) or $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathfrak{sp}(1, \mathbb{K})$ with $\mathfrak{l}^0_{12} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{K})$ (cases (SS11), (SS23)). The first case was treated in Lemma 5.1, and leads to $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{g}_3 = \mathfrak{so}(n, 1)$, $n \geq 2$. The other cases can be combined in the following diagram

(5.1)


$\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{K})$
$\mathfrak{sp}(k, \mathbb{K}')$
$\mathfrak{sp}(n-1, \mathbb{K})$ $\mathfrak{sp}(1, \mathbb{K}'')$ $\mathfrak{sp}(k-1, \mathbb{K}')$
$\mathfrak{sp}(n-2, \mathbb{K})$ $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{K}'')$ $\mathfrak{sp}(k-2, \mathbb{K}')$

with $n, k \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}', \mathbb{K}'' = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{K}'' \subset \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}'$. If $n = 1$, resp. $k = 1$, we request in addition that $\mathbb{K}'' = \mathbb{K}$, resp. $\mathbb{K}'' = \mathbb{K}'$. Note that with $n = k = 1$ also to the first two cases of Lemma 5.1 are included.

There are two possible choices of $\mathfrak{h}^0$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$. It can be the middle factor $\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{K}'')$, or it can be one of the others when n or k is 2, say $k=2$ and $\mathfrak{h}^0=\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{K}')$. The first choice leads to the cases $m=1$ of (BM55). For the second choice we need $(\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{K}'),\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{K}''))$ to be strongly spherical, and hence $\mathbb{K}'=\mathbb{K}''$. This then leads to the cases $m=1$ of (BM54).

Let us now consider the case where $\mathfrak{h}_3\subsetneq\mathfrak{g}_3$. Since Z is strictly indecomposable, there exists a non-compact simple common factor $\mathfrak{h}^{0,+}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_3$. According to Lemma 3.2(2) and Lemma 3.1, the pair $(\mathfrak{g}_{12}\oplus\mathfrak{h}^{0,+},\mathfrak{h}_{12})$ is then real spherical, hence one of the pairs we just determined. In particular Z_{12} is either a group case of $\mathfrak{so}(n,1)$, or it is of type (5.1). Moreover $\mathfrak{h}^{0,+}$ is either $\mathfrak{so}(n,1)$ for some n, or it is $\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{C})$.

By switching the roles of Z_{12} and Z_{23} we see that the same limitations applies to Z_{23}. In particular, if Z_{12} is a group case with $\mathfrak{g}_1=\mathfrak{g}_2=\mathfrak{so}(n,1)$ for $n\geq 4$, then Z_{23} has to be of the same type with $\mathfrak{g}_3=\mathfrak{g}_2=\mathfrak{so}(n,1)$, and we are in the case of Lemma 5.1. Excluding that case we infer that Z_{12} is of type (5.1) and that for some $m>1$

$$(\mathfrak{g}_3,\mathfrak{h}_3)=(\mathfrak{sp}(m,\mathbb{K}'''),\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{K}'''')\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(m-1,\mathbb{K}''')) \tag{5.2}$$

with $\mathbb{K}''''\subset\mathbb{K}'''$. Moreover $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{g}_3,\mathfrak{h}_3)=\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{K}'''')\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(m-2,\mathbb{K}''')$.

If $\mathfrak{h}^0=\mathfrak{h}^{0,+}$ is simple the glueing between Z_3 and Z_{12} takes place along the factor $\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{K}'''')$ of $\mathfrak{h}_3$, and as before there are two choices in $\mathfrak{h}_{12}$. These two choices then lead to the remaining cases of (BM55) and (BM54). Finally, the possibility for $n=k=m=2$ that Z_3 is glued to Z_{12} along both factors of $\mathfrak{h}_3$ is easily excluded by dimension count. □

Proposition 5.3. (Exclusion of four and more factors) *Let Z be a strictly indecomposable real spherical space attached to $G=G_1\times\ldots\times G_k$. Then $k\leq 3$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the case of $k=4$. We argue by contradiction and assume that there exists a strictly indecomposable real spherical space Z of this length.

We observe that after reordering we may assume that Z_{123} and Z_{234} are strictly indecomposable (this follows for example from the simple fact that every connected graph with 4 vertices contains a path of length 3).

It follows in particular that both Z_{123} and Z_{234} has to be one of the spaces listed in Theorem 5.2. We claim that it cannot be the triple space. Indeed, if Z_{123} were the triple space with $\mathfrak{g}_i=\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$ for $i=1,2,3$, then Z_{123} and Z_4 would be glued together along $\mathfrak{h}^0\simeq\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$ and $\mathfrak{h}^0$ would be spherical for the action of $\mathfrak{h}^0\oplus[\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}]_{123}$. Now $[\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}]_{123}=\mathfrak{so}(n-2)$ is compact and that would mean that $\mathfrak{so}(n-2)$ is a spherical subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}^0=\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$ which is not the case by the classification of [6] (note that the spherical subalgebra $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ of $\mathfrak{so}(1,8)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(6)$, but the subalgebras are not conjugate within $\mathfrak{so}(1,8)$).

Hence Z_{123} has to be one of the cases (BM54) or (BM55). By symmetry this holds for Z_{234} as well. In particular we have $(\mathfrak{g}_4,\mathfrak{h}_4)=(\mathfrak{sp}(s,\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}),\mathfrak{sp}(1,\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}')\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(s-1,\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}))$ with $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}},\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}'=\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}'\subset\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}$ and $s\geq 1$. Then Z_{123} and Z_4 are glued together along $\mathfrak{h}^0=\mathfrak{sp}(1,\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}')$ or $\mathfrak{h}^0=\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{K})$ if $s=2$. In order for the resulting space $Z=Z_{1234}$ to be real spherical this would require that the projection of $[\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}]_{123}$ to $\mathfrak{h}^0$ is real spherical. But this projection is 0 by Theorem 5.2 and we obtain a contradiction. □

Remark 5.4. Proposition 5.3 becomes false if we drop the assumption that Z is strictly indecomposable. For example, if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}$, then arbitrary many $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})=(\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{K})\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{K}),\mathfrak{gl}(n-2,\mathbb{K})\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{K}))$ can be glued together along $\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{K})$ to give a real spherical pair. This was realized already in the absolutely spherical case by Mikityuk, who coined the

term of a "principal spherical pair" (see [12], §5) to rule these cases out. In the complex case this notion is equivalent to our notion of strictly indecomposable (see also [15]). However, for the general real spherical case there still can be arbitrarily many factors even if we request that $\mathfrak{h}$ is semi-simple. For example $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h}) = (\mathfrak{sp}(p+1,q)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(p,q),\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1))$ can be glued arbitrarily often by itself along the negligible $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$.

6. Appendix: Tables for the structural algebra $\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}$

In this appendix we list for all real spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ simple and $\mathfrak{h}$ reductive the adapted Levi $\mathfrak{l}$ and the structural algebra $\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}$. The recipe how this can be obtained is explained in [6]. We start with the symmetric cases (Berger's list [2]), move on to the non-symmetric absolutely spherical cases obtained from Krämer's list [11] (see [6] for the determination of all real forms in Krämer's list), and finally catalogue the remaining cases obtained in [6].

In the tables below the following conventions hold: $n = p+q = k+l$ with $p \le q$ and $k \le l$. Further $p = p_1+p_2$, $q = q_1+q_2$ and $k = p_1+q_1$, $l = p_2+q_2$. Notice that both $p \le q$ and $k \le l$ force $q_2 \ge p_1$. If $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$, then we use the notation $\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{h}] = \mathfrak{h}\cap\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})$.

Tables 2 - 5 are separated by horizontal lines with each segment corresponding to the real forms of a complex spherical space listed on top of the segment.

In most of the cases the embedding of $\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}$ into $\mathfrak{h}$ is unique. The cases which cannot be decided just by the form $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}$ and which are of relevance for this paper are discussed in [6], Remark 8.2.

6.1. **The classical symmetric Lie algebras.** [See also [1].]

	$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$	$\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}$	
(1)	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$	0	
(2)	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R})$	$\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$	0	
(3)	$\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{so}(p,q)\\ \mathfrak{so}^*(2p),\ p=q\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{so}(q-p)$	
(4)	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$	$(i\mathbb{R})^n$	
(5)	$\mathfrak{sl}(2n,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{C})^n$	
(6)	$\mathfrak{sl}(2n,\mathbb{R})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R})^n$	
(7)	$\mathfrak{su}(2p,2q)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)\\ \mathfrak{sp}(2p,\mathbb{R}),\ p=q\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})^p+\mathfrak{sp}(q-p,0)$	
(8)	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(1,0)^n$	
(9)	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{gl}(p,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{gl}(q,\mathbb{C})]$	$\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{gl}(q-p,\mathbb{C})+\mathbb{C}^p]$	
(10)	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R})$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sl}(p,\mathbb{R})+\mathfrak{sl}(q,\mathbb{R})+\mathbb{R}\\ \mathfrak{sl}(p,\mathbb{C})+i\mathbb{R},\ p=q\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{gl}(q-p,\mathbb{R})+\mathbb{R}^p]$	
(11a)	$\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{u}(p_1,q_1)+\mathfrak{u}(p_2,q_2)]$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{u}(p_2-q_1,q_2-p_1)+(i\mathbb{R})^{p_1+q_1}] & p_2\geq q_1\\ \mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{u}(q_1-p_2)+\mathfrak{u}(q_2-p_1)+(i\mathbb{R})^{p_1+p_2}] & p_2\leq q_1\end{cases}$	
(11b)	$\mathfrak{su}(p,p)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(p,\mathbb{C})+\mathbb{R}$	$(i\mathbb{R})^{p-1}$	
(12a)	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(p,\mathbb{H})+\mathfrak{sl}(q,\mathbb{H})+\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{gl}(q-p,\mathbb{H})+\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{H})^p]$	
(12b)	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})+i\mathbb{R}$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{H})^{\frac{n}{2}}] & n \text{ even}\\ \mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{H})^{[\frac{n}{2}]}]+\mathfrak{u}(1) & n \text{ odd}\end{cases}$	
(13)	$\mathfrak{so}(2n,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})^{\frac{n}{2}} & n \text{ even}\\ \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}+\mathbb{C} & n \text{ odd}\end{cases}$	
(14a)	$\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{u}(\frac{p}{2},\frac{q}{2})$	$\mathfrak{u}(\frac{q-p}{2})+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})^{\frac{p}{2}}$	
(14b)	$\mathfrak{so}(p,p)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(p,\mathbb{R})$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})^{\frac{p}{2}} & p \text{ even}\\ \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+\mathbb{R} & p \text{ odd}\end{cases}$	
(15a)	$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$	$\mathfrak{u}(p,q)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})^{\frac{n}{2}} & n \text{ even},\ p \text{ even}\\ \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})^{\frac{n}{2}-1}+\mathfrak{su}(1,1)+i\mathbb{R} & n \text{ even},\ p \text{ odd}\\ \mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}+i\mathbb{R} & n \text{ odd}\end{cases}$	
(15b)	$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(\frac{n}{2},\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})^{\frac{n}{2}}$	n even
(16)	$\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{so}(p,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{so}(q,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{so}(q-p,\mathbb{C})$	
(17a)	$\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{so}(p_1,q_1)+\mathfrak{so}(p_2,q_2)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{so}(p_2-q_1,q_2-p_1) & p_2\geq q_1\\ \mathfrak{so}(q_1-p_2)+\mathfrak{so}(q_2-p_1) & p_2\leq q_1\end{cases}$	
(17b)	$\mathfrak{so}(p,p)$	$\mathfrak{so}(p,\mathbb{C})$	0	
(18a)	$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$	$\mathfrak{so}^*(2p)+\mathfrak{so}^*(2q)$	$\mathfrak{so}^*(2q-2p)+(i\mathbb{R})^p$	
(18b)	$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$	$(i\mathbb{R})^{[\frac{n}{2}]}$	
(19)	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$	0	
(20)	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{u}(p,q)\\ \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})\end{cases}$	0	
(21)	$\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{u}(p,q)\\ \mathfrak{gl}(p,\mathbb{H}),\ p=q\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{u}(q-p)+(i\mathbb{R})^p$	
(1)(22)	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(p,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{sp}(q,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(q-p,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})^p$	
(23)	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sp}(p,\mathbb{R})+\mathfrak{sp}(q,\mathbb{R})\\ \mathfrak{sp}(p,\mathbb{C}),\ p=q\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(q-p,\mathbb{R})+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})^p$	
(24a)	$\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(p_1,q_1)+\mathfrak{sp}(p_2,q_2)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sp}(p_2-q_1,q_2-p_1)+\mathfrak{sp}(1)^{p_1+q_1} & p_2\geq q_1\\ \mathfrak{sp}(q_1-p_2)+\mathfrak{sp}(q_2-p_1)+\mathfrak{sp}(1)^{p_1+p_2} & p_2\leq q_1\end{cases}$	
(24b)	$\mathfrak{sp}(p,p)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(p,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(1)^p$	

Table 2

6.2. **The exceptional symmetric Lie algebras.**

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$	$\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}$
$\mathsf{E}_6^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{C})$	0
E_6^1	$\mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$ $\mathfrak{sp}(2,2)$	0
E_6^2	$\mathfrak{sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathfrak{sp}(1,3)$	0
E_6^3	$\mathfrak{sp}(2,2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(4)$
E_6^4	$\mathfrak{sp}(1,3)$	$\mathfrak{so}(4)+\mathfrak{so}(4)$
$\mathsf{E}_6^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(6,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^2\subseteq\mathfrak{sl}(6,\mathbb{C})$
E_6^1	$\mathfrak{sl}(6,\mathbb{R})+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{H})+\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathbb{R}^2$
E_6^2	$\mathfrak{su}(6)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$ $\mathfrak{su}(2,4)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$ $\mathfrak{su}(3,3)+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$	$(i\mathbb{R})^2$
E_6^3	$\mathfrak{su}(2,4)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$ $\mathfrak{su}(1,5)+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$	$\mathfrak{u}(2)+\mathfrak{u}(2)$
E_6^4	$\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{H})+\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(5)+\mathfrak{so}(3)+\mathbb{R}$
$\mathsf{E}_6^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{so}(10,\mathbb{C})+\mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{C})+\mathbb{C}$
E_6^1	$\mathfrak{so}(5,5)+\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{R})+\mathbb{R}$
E_6^2	$\mathfrak{so}(4,6)+i\mathbb{R}$ $\mathfrak{so}^*(10)+i\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{u}(2,2)$
E_6^3	$\mathfrak{so}(10)+i\mathbb{R}$ $\mathfrak{so}(2,8)+i\mathbb{R}$ $\mathfrak{so}^*(10)+i\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{u}(4)$
E_6^4	$\mathfrak{so}(1,9)+\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7)+\mathbb{R}$
$\mathsf{E}_6^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathsf{F}_4^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{so}(8,\mathbb{C})$
E_6^1	F_4^1	$\mathfrak{so}(4,4)$
E_6^2	F_4^1	$\mathfrak{so}(3,5)$
E_6^3	F_4^2	$\mathfrak{so}(1,7)$
E_6^4	F_4^2 F_4	$\mathfrak{so}(8)$
$\mathsf{E}_7^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(8,\mathbb{C})$	0
E_7^1	$\mathfrak{sl}(8,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathfrak{su}(8)$ $\mathfrak{su}(4,4)$ $\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{H})$	0
E_7^2	$\mathfrak{su}(2,6)$ $\mathfrak{su}(4,4)$	$\mathfrak{so}(2)^3$
E_7^3	$\mathfrak{su}(2,6)$ $\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{so}(4)+\mathfrak{so}(4)$

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$	$\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}$
$\mathsf{E}_7^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{so}(12,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})^3\subseteq\mathfrak{so}(12,\mathbb{C})$
E_7^1	$\mathfrak{so}(6,6)+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathfrak{so}^*(12)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})^3$
E_7^2	$\mathfrak{so}(12)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$ $\mathfrak{so}(4,8)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$ $\mathfrak{so}^*(12)+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$	$\mathfrak{su}(2)^3$
E_7^3	$\mathfrak{so}(2,10)+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathfrak{so}^*(12)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(6)+\mathfrak{so}(2)+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$
$\mathsf{E}_7^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathsf{E}_6^{\mathbb{C}}+\mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{so}(8,\mathbb{C})$
E_7^1	$\mathsf{E}_6^1+\mathbb{R}$ $\mathsf{E}_6^2+i\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(4,4)$
E_7^2	$\mathsf{E}_6^2+i\mathbb{R}$ $\mathsf{E}_6^3+i\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(2,6)+\mathfrak{so}(2)$
E_7^3	$\mathsf{E}_6^3+i\mathbb{R}$ $\mathsf{E}_6^4+\mathbb{R}$ $\mathsf{E}_6+i\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(8)$
$\mathsf{E}_8^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{so}(16,\mathbb{C})$	0
E_8^1	$\mathfrak{so}(8,8)$ $\mathfrak{so}(16)$ $\mathfrak{so}^*(16)$	0
E_8^2	$\mathfrak{so}(4,12)$ $\mathfrak{so}^*(16)$	$\mathfrak{so}(4)+\mathfrak{so}(4)$
$\mathsf{E}_8^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathsf{E}_7^{\mathbb{C}}+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{so}(8,\mathbb{C})$
E_8^1	$\mathsf{E}_7^1+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathsf{E}_7^2+\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(4,4)$
E_8^2	$\mathsf{E}_7^2+\mathfrak{su}(2)$ $\mathsf{E}_7^3+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathsf{E}_7+\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(8)$
$\mathsf{F}_4^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(3,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$	0
F_4^1	$\mathfrak{sp}(3,\mathbb{R})+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathfrak{sp}(3)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$ $\mathfrak{sp}(1,2)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$	0
F_4^2	$\mathfrak{sp}(1,2)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(4)+\mathfrak{so}(3)$
$\mathsf{F}_4^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{so}(9,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7,\mathbb{C})$
F_4^1	$\mathfrak{so}(4,5)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(3,4)$
F_4^2	$\mathfrak{so}(9)$ $\mathfrak{so}(1,8)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7)$
$\mathsf{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$	0
G_2^1	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ $\mathfrak{su}(2)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$	0

Table 3

6.3. **Two general cases of symmetric Lie algebras.**

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$	$\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}$	
$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$	$\mathfrak{c}_{\mathbb{R}}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ complex simple, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}\subset\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ real form, $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathbb{R}}<\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ fund. Cartan.
$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}$ simple

6.4. **The non-symmetric absolutely spherical cases.**

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$	$\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}$	
$\mathfrak{sl}(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}\subset\mathbb{C}$
$\mathfrak{sl}(2n+1,\mathbb{R})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}\subset\mathbb{R}$
$\mathfrak{su}(2p,2q+1)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)+i\mathfrak{f}\\ \mathfrak{sp}(p,\mathbb{R})+i\mathfrak{f},\ p=q\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(q-p)+i\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}\subset\mathbb{R}$
$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(p,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{sl}(q,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{sl}(q-p,\mathbb{C})+\mathbb{C}^p]+\mathfrak{f}$	$p<q,\mathfrak{f}\subset\mathbb{C},\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{f}\leq 1$
$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(p,\mathbb{R})+\mathfrak{sl}(q,\mathbb{R})$	$\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{sl}(q-p,\mathbb{R})+\mathbb{R}^p]$	$p<q$
$\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{su}(p_1,q_1)+\mathfrak{su}(p_2,q_2)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{su}(p_2-q_1,q_2-p_1)+(i\mathbb{R})^{p_1+q_1}],\ p_2\geq q_1\\ \mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{u}(q_1-p_2)+\mathfrak{u}(q_2-p_1)]+(i\mathbb{R})^{p_1+p_2}],\ p_2\leq q_1\end{cases}$	$p_1+q_1<p_2+q_2$
$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(p,\mathbb{H})+\mathfrak{sl}(q,\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{sl}(q-p,\mathbb{H})+\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{H})^p]$	
$\mathfrak{so}(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})+\mathbb{C}$	0	
$\mathfrak{so}(2p,2q+1)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{su}(p,q)+i\mathbb{R}\\ \mathfrak{sl}(p,\mathbb{R})+\mathbb{R},\ p=q\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{u}(q-p)$	
$\mathfrak{so}(2n,\mathbb{C}),\ n$ odd	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}\subset\mathbb{C},\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{f}\leq 1$
$\mathfrak{so}(2p,2q)$	$\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{su}(q-p)+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})^p$	$p+q$ odd
$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$	$\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$	
$\mathfrak{sp}(n+1,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})+\mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{C})+\mathbb{C}$	
$\mathfrak{sp}(n+1,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	
$\mathfrak{sp}(n+1,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{sp}(1,\mathbb{R})$	
$\mathfrak{sp}(n+1,\mathbb{R})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})+\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n-1,\mathbb{R})+\mathbb{R}$	
$\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sp}(p-1,q)+i\mathbb{R}\\ \mathfrak{sp}(p,q-1)+i\mathbb{R}\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(p-1,q-1)+i\mathbb{R}$	

Table 4

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$	$\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}$	
$\mathfrak{so}(7,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathsf{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$	
$\mathfrak{so}(3,4)$	G_2^1	$\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R})$	
$\mathfrak{so}(8,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathsf{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$	
$\mathfrak{so}(4,4)$	G_2^1	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$	
$\mathfrak{so}(3,5)$	G_2^1	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$	
$\mathfrak{so}(1,7)$	G_2	$\mathfrak{su}(3)$	
$\mathfrak{so}(9,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$	
$\mathfrak{so}(4,5)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(3,4)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R})$	
$\mathfrak{so}(1,8)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	G_2	
$\mathfrak{so}(10)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7,\mathbb{C})+\mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$	
$\mathfrak{so}(5,5)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(3,4)+\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$	
$\mathfrak{so}(4,6)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(3,4)+i\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$	
$\mathfrak{so}(2,8)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7)+i\mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(3)$	
$\mathfrak{so}(1,9)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7)+\mathbb{R}$	G_2	
$\mathfrak{so}^*(10)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{spin}(1,6)+\mathbb{R}\\ \mathfrak{spin}(2,5)+\mathbb{R}\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})+i\mathbb{R}$	
$\mathsf{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$	
G_2^1	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R})\\ \mathfrak{su}(1,2)\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$	
$\mathsf{E}_6^{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{so}(10,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{C})+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}\subset\mathbb{C},\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{f}\leq 1$
E_6^1	$\mathfrak{so}(5,5)$	$\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{R})$	
E_6^2	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{so}(4,6)\\ \mathfrak{so}^*(10)\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{su}(2,2)$	
E_6^3	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{so}(10)\\ \mathfrak{so}(2,8)\\ \mathfrak{so}^*(10)\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{su}(4)$	
E_6^4	$\mathfrak{so}(1,9)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	

Table 5

6.5. **Non-absolutely spherical simple pairs** $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$**.** The table below treats the cases classified in [6].

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$	$\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{h}$	
$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n-1,\mathbb{H})+\mathfrak{f},\ \mathfrak{f}\subseteq\mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n-2,\mathbb{H})+\mathfrak{f}$	$n\geq 3$
$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{H})+\mathfrak{f},\ \mathfrak{f}\subseteq\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$\mathfrak{f}$	
$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{H})^{[\frac{n}{2}]}$	n odd
$\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{su}(p_1,q_1)+\mathfrak{su}(p_2,q_2)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{su}(p_2-q_1,q_2-p_1)+(i\mathbb{R})^{p_1+q_1}],\ p_2\geq q_1\\ \mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{u}(q_1-p_2)+\mathfrak{u}(q_2-p_1)]+(i\mathbb{R})^{p_1+p_2}],\ p_2\leq q_1\end{cases}$	$(p_1,q_1)\neq(q_2,p_2)$
$\mathfrak{su}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{su}(1,n-2q)+\mathfrak{sp}(q)+\mathfrak{f},\ \mathfrak{f}\subset\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$\mathfrak{su}(n-2q)+\mathfrak{sp}(q-1)+i\mathbb{R}+\mathfrak{f}$	$1\leq q\leq\frac{n}{2}$
$\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{u}(q-p)+i\mathbb{R}^q]$	
$\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{sp}(p-1,q)\\ \mathfrak{sp}(p,q-1)\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(p-1,q-1)$	
$\mathfrak{so}(2p,2q)$	$\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{su}(q-p)+\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})^p$	$p<q$
$\mathfrak{so}(2p+1,2q)$	$\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{su}(q-p)$	$p\neq q-1,q$
$\mathfrak{so}(3,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(3,n-8)+\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-8)+\mathfrak{so}(3),\ \mathfrak{so}(3)\subset\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	$n\geq 8$
$\mathfrak{so}(2,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(2,n-8)+\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-8)+\mathfrak{su}(3)$	$n\geq 8$
$\mathfrak{so}(2,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(2,n-7)+\mathsf{G}_2$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-7)+\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$n\geq 7$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-8)+\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-8)+\mathsf{G}_2$	$n\geq 8$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-7)+\mathsf{G}_2$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-7)+\mathfrak{su}(3)$	$n\geq 7$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-16)+\mathfrak{spin}(9)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-16)+\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	$n\geq 16$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-2r)+\mathfrak{su}(r)+\mathfrak{f},\ \mathfrak{f}\subset\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-2r)+\mathfrak{su}(r-1)+\mathfrak{f}$	$2\leq r\leq\frac{n}{2}$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-4r)+\mathfrak{sp}(r)+\mathfrak{f},\ \mathfrak{f}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-4r)+\mathfrak{sp}(r-1)+\mathfrak{f}$	$2\leq r\leq\frac{n}{4}$
$\mathfrak{so}(3,6)$	$\mathfrak{so}(2)+\mathsf{G}_2^1$	0	
$\mathfrak{so}(4,7)$	$\mathfrak{so}(3)+\mathfrak{spin}(3,4)$	0	
$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$	$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n-2)$	$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n-4)$	$n\geq 5$
$\mathfrak{so}^*(10)$	$\begin{cases}\mathfrak{spin}(1,6)\\ \mathfrak{spin}(2,5)\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{sl}(1,\mathbb{H})$	
E_6^4	$\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{H})+\mathfrak{f},\ \mathfrak{f}\subset\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$\mathfrak{so}(5)+\mathfrak{f}$	
E_7^2	$\begin{cases}\mathsf{E}_6^3\\ \mathsf{E}_6^2\end{cases}$	$\mathfrak{so}(2,6)$	
F_4^2	$\mathfrak{sp}(1,2)+\mathfrak{f},\ \mathfrak{f}\subset\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$\mathfrak{so}(4)+\mathfrak{f}$	

Table 6

The following two tables related to rank one groups are used in Thm. 4.1.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$	$\mathfrak{e}$	$\mathfrak{e}_0$	
$\mathfrak{su}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{su}(1,p)+\mathfrak{su}(n-p)$	$\mathfrak{su}(n-p)$	$\mathfrak{su}(n-p-1)$	$1\leq p<n$
$\mathfrak{su}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{su}(1,n-2p)+\mathfrak{sp}(p)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(p)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(p-1)$	$1\leq p<\frac{n}{2}$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,p)+\mathfrak{so}(n-p)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-p)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-p-1)$	$2\leq p\leq n-3$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-8)+\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	G_2	$n\geq 10$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-7)+\mathsf{G}_2$	G_2	$\mathfrak{su}(3)$	$n\geq 9$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-16)+\mathfrak{spin}(9)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(9)$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	$n\geq 18$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-2r)+\mathfrak{su}(r)$	$\mathfrak{su}(r)$	$\mathfrak{su}(r-1)$	$n-2r,r\geq 2$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-4r)+\mathfrak{sp}(r)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(r)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(r-1)$	$n-4r,r\geq 2$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-4r)+\mathfrak{sp}(r)+\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(r)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(r-1)+\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	$n-4r,r\geq 2$

Table 7

The restriction on the indices in Table 7 is such that $\mathfrak{h}\neq\mathfrak{g}$ is semi-simple and non-compact.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$	$\mathfrak{i}$	$\mathfrak{i}_0$	
$\mathfrak{su}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{u}(1,p)+\mathfrak{u}(n-p)]$	$\mathfrak{u}(n-p)$	$\mathfrak{u}(n-p-1)$	$1\leq p<n$
$\mathfrak{su}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{su}(1,n-2p)+\mathfrak{sp}(p)+\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(p)+\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(p-1)+\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$1\leq p<\frac{n}{2}$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-2)+\mathfrak{so}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(2)$	0	
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-2r)+\mathfrak{u}(r)$	$\mathfrak{u}(r)$	$\mathfrak{u}(r-1)$	$n-2r,r\geq 2$
$\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1,n-4r)+\mathfrak{sp}(r)+\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(r)+\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(r-1)+\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$n-4r,r\geq 2$

Table 8

6.6. **Strongly spherical pairs.** We conclude with a classification of the strictly indecomposable strongly spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$, with $\mathfrak{g}$ semisimple and non-compact. This classification is easily extracted from our tables, in view of Lemma 3.2. In the following table we exclude symmetric pairs, as they were previously tabled in [10], and cases with $\mathfrak{h}$ compact.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$		
$\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{su}(p-1,q)$		$p,q\geq 1;\ p-1,p\neq q$
$\mathfrak{su}(n,1)$	$\mathfrak{su}(n-q,1)+\mathfrak{su}(q)$		$n\geq 3; 1\leq q\leq n$
$\mathfrak{su}(n,1)$	$\mathfrak{su}(n-2q,1)+\mathfrak{sp}(q)+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}\subseteq\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$1\leq q\leq \frac{n}{2}$
$\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H})$	$\mathfrak{sl}(n-1,\mathbb{H})+\mathbb{R}+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}\subseteq\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$n\geq 3$
$\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(p-1,q)+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}\subseteq\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$p,q\geq 1$
$\mathfrak{so}(2n,2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(n,1)$		$n\geq 2$
$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n+2)$	$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$		$n\geq 3$
$\mathfrak{so}(n,1)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-2q,1)+\mathfrak{su}(q)+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}\subseteq\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$2\leq q\leq \frac{n}{2}$
$\mathfrak{so}(n,1)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-4q,1)+\mathfrak{sp}(q)+\mathfrak{f}$	$\mathfrak{f}\subseteq\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	$2\leq q\leq \frac{n}{4}$
$\mathfrak{so}(n,1)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-16,1)+\mathfrak{spin}(9)$		$n\geq 16$
$\mathfrak{so}(n,1)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-7,1)+\mathsf{G}_2$		$n\geq 7$
$\mathfrak{so}(n,1)$	$\mathfrak{so}(n-8,1)+\mathfrak{spin}(7)$		$n\geq 8$

Table 9

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Jan Möllers for pointing out some inaccuracies in a previous version of the paper.

References

[1] K. Baba, *Local orbit types of the isotropy representations for semisimple pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **38** (2015), 124–150.

[2] M. Berger, *Les espaces symétriques noncompacts*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **74** (1957), 85–177.

[3] P. Bravi and G. Pezzini, *The spherical systems of the wonderful reductive subgroups*, J. Lie Theory 25 (2015), 105–123.

[4] M. Brion, *Classification des espaces homogènes sphériques*, Compositio Math. **63**(2) (1987), 189–208.

[5] F. Knop and B. Krötz, *Reductive group actions*, arXiv: 1604.01005

[6] F. Knop, B. Krötz, T. Pecher and H. Schlichtkrull, *Classification of reductive real spherical pairs I. The simple case*, arXiv:1609.00963

[7] F. Knop, B. Krötz, E. Sayag and H. Schlichtkrull, *Simple compactifications and polar decomposition of homogeneous real spherical spaces*, Selecta Math. N.S. **2** (2015), 1071–1097.

[8] F. Knop, B. Krötz and H. Schlichtkrull, *The local structure theorem for real spherical spaces*, Compositio Math. **151** (2015), 2145–2159.

[9] F. Knop and B. Van Steirteghem, *Classification of smooth affine spherical varieties*, Transformation Groups **11** (2006), 495–516.

[10] T. Kobayashi and T. Matsuki, *Classification of finite-multiplicity symmetric pairs*, Transformation Groups **19 (2)** (2014), 457–493

[11] M. Krämer, *Sphärische Untergruppen in kompakten zusammenhängenden Liegruppen*, Compositio math. **38 (2)** (1979), 129–153.

[12] Mikityuk, *On the integrability of Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous configuration spaces*, Math. USSR Sbornik, **57(2)** (1987), 527–546.

[13] A.L. Onishchik, *Inclusion relations among transitive compact transformation groups*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. **11** (1962), 199–242; Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **50** (1966), 5–58.

[14] T. Oshima and J. Sekiguchi, *The restricted root system of a semisimple symmetric pair*, Group representations and systems of differential equations (Tokyo, 1982), 433–497, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **4**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

[15] D. Timashev, Homogeneous Spaces and Equivariant Embeddings, Enc. of Math. Sciences **138**, Springer Verlag 2011
[16] E.B. Vinberg, *The Weyl group of a graded Lie algebra*, Math. USSR Izv. **10** (1976), 463–495.